

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	(CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0910016 DA	ΤΕ: <u>4/20/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:24 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:57 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CRESTVIEW READY MIX			
FACILITY LOCATION	1: 1070 FARMER ST		
	CRESTVIEW 32570		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICE	IAL: BARBARA WOOLLAR	PHONE: ((850)243-8114
CONTACT NAME: Bil	lly Vest	PHONE: ((850)682-6117
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITI	LEMENT PERIOD: 5/1/2005	/ 5/1/2010
		(effective date)	(end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C	C.
(check I appropriat		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C	
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi	s site visit according to EPA Metho	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi	s site visit according to EPA Metho	od 9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes ⊠ No
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the expectation.	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi m silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible	s site visit according to EPA Metho	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes ☑ No conveying equipment □Yes □ No
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions ground to the emission ground to	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi m silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust coll	s site visit according to EPA Metho	od 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 2. Are emissions from controlled to the end of the e	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi m silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust coll resentative of the normal silo kunachievable in practice?	s site visit according to EPA Methors, and other enclosed storage and cemissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the minimum.	od 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions from the emission that the emission the emission that t	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi m silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust coll wresentative of the normal silo lo unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to ques	s site visit according to EPA Methormann sites and other enclosed storage and of emissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loadin pading rate, or at least at the minimal peration controlled by the silo dust stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answe	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions from the emissions are emissions and the emissions from the emissions fr	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi m silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust coll wresentative of the normal silo lo unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to ques and continue on to question 5.)-	s site visit according to EPA Methors), and other enclosed storage and cemissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loadin oading rate, or at least at the minimum peration controlled by the silo dust stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answe	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions from the emissi	e box(es)) sions tests conducted during thi m silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust coll bresentative of the normal silo lo unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to ques and continue on to question 5.)- ng operation in operation during ble emissions test, was the batc	s site visit according to EPA Methors, and other enclosed storage and demissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the minimum peration controlled by the silo dust stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answerighted the visible emissions test?	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions from at a rate that is repunless such rate is 4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)	sions tests conducted during this is ions tests conducted during this is ions tests of the silo dust collar is in the weigh hopper (batcher) of "Yes", then continue on to quest and continue on to question 5.) in operation in operation during ble emissions test, was the batcher oper (batcher) oper the weigh hopper (batcher) operation to peration during the weigh hopper (batcher) operation operation operation operation).	s site visit according to EPA Methoders), and other enclosed storage and demissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the minimum peration controlled by the silo dust stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answeighted the visible emissions test?	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions from at a rate that is repunless such rate is 4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) and 3. Was the batchin by During the visit duration? 5. If emissions from from the silo dust	sions tests conducted during this silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust collaresentative of the normal silo launachievable in practice?	s site visit according to EPA Methors), and other enclosed storage and demissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loading pading rate, or at least at the minimum peration controlled by the silo dust stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answers thing rate representative of the normalization are controlled by a dust colleons tests of the weigh hopper (batch	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter
(check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emiss 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 2. Are emissions from controlled to the emissions from at a rate that is repunless such rate is 4. Are emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) and 3. Was the batchin by During the visit duration? 5. If emissions from from the silo dust	sions tests conducted during this silos, weigh hoppers (batcher xtent necessary to limit visible issions tests of the silo dust collaresentative of the normal silo launachievable in practice?	s site visit according to EPA Methors), and other enclosed storage and demissions to 5 percent opacity?lector exhaust points was the loading pading rate, or at least at the minimum peration controlled by the silo dust stions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answers thing rate representative of the normalization are controlled by a dust colleons tests of the weigh hopper (batch	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter